III. *Tender No. ER/NZR/OBG/IMP/18/27/94-95 for 35 SRP Units (ERBC)*

	Name of Bidders	Evaluated rate per Unit (Rs.) Model C456 D	Model C320 D	Model C640 D
(i)	New Standard Engg. Bombay.	11,40,510.00	10,77,010.00	17,65,720.00
(ii)	Simplex Engineering & Foundry Works Ltd., New Delhi.	15,44,249.65 (for 16 units) 16,98,897.87 (for 01-15 units)	12,51,756.00 (for 14 units) 13,62,153.93 (for 01-13 units)	19,71,515.70
(iii)	BPCL, Allahabad.	12,49,422.35	Not quoted	Not quoted
(iv)	China Petroleum Tech. and Development Corpn. China.	15,83,381.00	13,73,919.39	47,26,085.38
(v)	L.S. Import and Export Corpn., China.	16,00,607.28	12,36,052.37	45,60,990.15
(vi)	OHI Petroleum, Oman.	27,02,929.47 (Alt-I) 24,95,409.82 (Alt-II)	24,99,562.68 (Alt-I) 22,92,043.03 (Alt-II)	49,79,795.21 (Alt-I) 47,72,275.56 (Alt-II)
(vii)	Industrial Export, Romania	10,20,462.40	9,40,980.07	22,17,015.08

IV. Tender No. MAS/SP/OPS/I/OOS/94-95 for 11 SRP Units (SRBC).

	Name of Bidders	Evaluated rate per Unit (Rs.) Model C320	Model C228
(i)	M/s Quinn Associates, USA.	Failed to qualify	Failed to qualify
(ii)	M/s China National Machinery Equipment, Import and Export Corporation, China.	8,98,038.21	7,41,042.40
(iii)	M/s China Petroleum Tech. & Development Corpn., China.	Bid received late	Bid received late
(iv)	M/s BPCL, Allahabad.	Failed to qualify	Failed to qualify
(v)	M/s Greaves Ltd., Madras.	-do-	-do-
(vi)	M/s New Standard Engg. Co. Ltd. Bombay.	17,02,846.20	13,78,192.20
(vii)	M/s Simplex Engg. & Foundry Works, New Delhi.	16,03,800.00	12,80,400.00
(viii)	M/s L.S. Import & Export of FRC, China.	9,39,655.55	8,28,120.51

Branch Post Offices in Gram Panchayats of U.P.

2379. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) how many branch post offices have been sanctioned and opened, district-wise, during 1993-94 and 1994-95 in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) how many gram panchayats, in the State do not have branch post offices, district-wise; and

(c) what action Government contemplate to provide postal facilities to all those gram panchayats?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) The information for the year 1993-94 is given in the enclosed Statement T *(see* below). No post office has been sanctioned during 1994-95. However, there is a target of opening 12 EDBOs* and 19 DSOS**in Uttar Pradesh.

Extra Departmental Branch Post Offices.
Departmental Sub-Post Ofices.

(b) The information is given idthe enclosed Sta fulfilment of departmental norms and availability of resources. Preference is, however, given to panchayats without post offices.

(c) Post offices are openedin gram panc

Statement I

Details of number of Branch Post Offices sanctioned and opened during 1993-94, District-wise. in Uttar Pradesh.

Sl. No.	Name of District	Post offices sanctioned & opened 1993-94
1	2	3
1.	Agra	1
2.	Aligarh	1
3.	Allahabad	4
4.	Almora	2
5.	Azamgarh	1
6.	Budaun	2
7.	Bareilly	1
8.	Bijnore	2
9.	Basti	2
10.	Ballia	2
11.	Bahraich	2
12.	Barabanki	9
13.	Chamoli	1
14.	Deoria	1
15.	Fatehpur	2
16.	Farrukhabad	1
17.	Faizabad	4
18.	Ghazipur	2
19.	Ghaziabad	3
20.	Gorakhpur	4
21.	Gonda	5
22.	Jaunpur	3
23.	Kheri	2
24.	Kheri (Tribal)	2
25.	Kanpur City	2
26	Kanpur (M)	2
27.	Lucknow	4
28.	Mainpuri	1
29.	Mirzapur	3
30.	Moradabad	2
31.	Muzaffarnagar	1
32.	Pratapgarh	1
33.	Pithoragarh	4
34.	Pauri	1
35.	Raebareli	4
36.	Sitapur	2

1	2	3
37.	Sultanpur	3
38.	Tehri	4
39.	Unnao	1
40.	Varanasi	1
	Total :	95

Statement II

District-wise number of Gram Panchayat without BOs

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Gram Panchayat without BOs.
1	2	3
1.	Kanpur City	77
2.	Kanpur Dehat	729
3.	Unnao	697
4.	Fatehpur	548
5.	Farrukhabad	669
6.	Banda	475
7.	Hamirpur	339
8.	Allahabad	1376
9.	Ghazipur	668
10.	Jaunpur	1120
11.	Pratapgarh	753
12.	Mirzapur	606
13.	Sonbhadra	325
14.	Varanasi	904
15.	Almora	179
16.	Kheri	644
17.	Hardoi	836
18.	Bareilly	831
19.	Budaun	833
20.	Shahjahanpur	678
21.	Nainital	299
22.	Pilibhit	429
23.	Pithoragarh	212
24.	Moradabad	1135
25.	Rampur	456
26.	Barabanki	787
27.	Lucknow	353
28.	Faizabad	791
29.	Sultanpur	798
30.	Raebareli	543
31.	Sitapur	972
32.	Azamgarh	1261
33.	Mau	363
34.	Gorakhpur	890
35.	Basti	1238
36.	Sidharthnagar	835

1	2	3
37.	Maharajganj	527
38.	Gonda	1215
39.	Ballia	481
40.	Bahraich	847
41.	Deoria	699
42.	Agra	379
43.	Aligarh	704
44.	Bulandshahar	651
45.	Etah	609
46.	Etawah	570
47.	Jhansi	271
48.	Jalaun	318
49.	Lalitpur	184
50.	Mathura	373
51.	Mainpuri	353
52.	Firozabad	368
53.	Pujnore	678
54.	Chamoli	23
55.	Dehradun	66
56.	Ghaziabad	350
57.	Meerut	390
58.	Muzaffarnagar	413
59.	Pauri Garhwal	64
60.	Saharanpur	601
61.	Tehrigarhwal	229
62.	Uttarkashi	77
63.	Haridwar	216
64.	Bhadoi	445
65.	Padrauna	785
66.	Mahoba	Not available
	Total:	37535

Waiting List for Telephone Connections in Madhya Pradesh

2380. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) what was the plan outlay for tele-communications improvement in Madhya Pradesh sector for the year 1994-95;

(b) how many applications for telephone connections are in the waiting list for the year ending 1994, in Bhopal, Indore, Raipur, Jabalpur, Ratlam and Satna districts;

(c) whether any steps/measures have been taken to accommodate the waitlisted applicants in the near future;

fjd) if so, the details thereof; and (e) if not, the reasons therefor? THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) Rs. 340 crores.

(b) The number of applications is given below:

Bhopal—7112
Indore—18598
Raipur—4146
Jabalpur—2389
Ratlam—569
Satna—1997

(c) to (e) The target is to provide 57000 new telephone connections in Madhya Pradesh during 1994-95, including in the towns mentioned above.